

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,573	10/12/2000	James T. Christopher	1280-0003	8261
75	590 12/19/2001			
Michael L Diaz		EXAMINER		
Smith Danamraj & Youst PC 12900 Preston Road			CHAMBERS, TROY	
Suite 1200 LB				
Dallas, TX 75230-1328			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	7
			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				,		
٠		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/689,573	GARLAND			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Troy Chambers	3641			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M e, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a)		mis action is non-final.	•			
3)	, -					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•			
-	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application	٦.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
_	Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are allowed.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,20,21 and 24</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>5,9-19,22 and 23</u> is/are objected to.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
	on Papers	,				
	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		the Examiner			
, ==	Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
11)[T	he proposed drawing correction filed on					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.				
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·				
_a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has	been received.			
Attachment			00			
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Tra	demail Office			_		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/689,573

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 20, 21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5816232 issued to Bell in view of U.S. Patent No. 5784984 issued to Lodico et al. ("Lodico"). Bell discloses a paintball loader, comprising: a housing 30; a paintball agitating drive cone 139; a motor 140; an exit tube 128; a tube extension 175; and an optical switch 144. Absent from Bell is a pivotally attached deflector arm. However, Lodico discloses such a deflector arm. Specifically, Lodico discloses a mechanical seed meter comprising a housing 10; a bowl 34; and, a resilient flap 48.

Bell and Lodico are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor namely, article dispensing via a rotary means.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the paintball loader of Bell with the resilient flap of Lodico. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to maintain the projectile in its assigned cell until it is ready to be expelled or loaded. (See, Lodico, col. 3, II. 24-35).

3. With respect to claim 1, the combination to Bell and Lodico make obvious applicant's claimed invention as described above.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,573

Art Unit: 3641

- 4. With respect to claim 2, Bell discloses a drive cone 139 including a plurality of fins 150. Figures 5 and 6 disclose an exit tube with a sloped portion 154 and a tube extension 175,
- 5. With respect to claim 3, Bell discloses a stepper motor 140 and not a DC motor as claimed by the applicant. However, the use of such motors in paintball dispensing devices is well known to those with ordinary skill in the art. (See, e.g. U.S. Patent Nos. 6305367 and 5794606).
- 6. With respect to claim 4, 6 and 7, Bell discloses an optical sensor detector 144.
- 7. With respect to claims 20 and 21, Bell discloses a plurality of outwardly spiraling fins 150.
- 8. With respect to claim 24, refer to the above paragraphs.
- 9. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Bell and Lodico and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5794606 issued to Deak. The combination of Bell and Lodico is described above. However, the combination does not describe a detector that includes an electro-mechanical switch. The Deak disclosure provides such an element. Specifically, Deak discloses a ram feed ammo box that includes an electro-magnetic switch 88 for automatically stopping an electric motor 60. The prior art references are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely paintball gun feed mechanisms. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the combination of Bell and Lodico with the electromagnetic switch of Deak. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have

Application/Control Number: 09/689,573

Art Unit: 3641

573 Page 4

been to prevent similar paintball feed mechanisms from jamming. (See, Deak col. 2, II. 48-61 and col. 3, II. 19-25).

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 25 is allowed.

11. Claims 5, 9-19, 22 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. This examiner finds that a microprocessor-controlled motor in association with a paintball loader is not disclosed in the prior art.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to are cited as of interest to show similar

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

SUPERVISORY PATENT ENAIGHT STEENINGLOGY CHITTEN SOLD